

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/580,448	05/30/2000	Scott Andrew Snyder	051638-5001-02	2465	
9629	7590 01/06/2003				
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			EXAMINER		
	1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3624		
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SL	
----	--

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/580,448	SNYDER, SCOTT ANDREW			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Narayanswamy Subramanian	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 C	<u> October 2002</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-53 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to applicant's request for reconsideration filed on October 30, 2002. In addition, the Examiner acknowledges Applicant's request for consideration of previously submitted Information Disclosure Statement filed under 37 C.F.R 1.97(b).

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-45, drawn to a method for assisting a customer in choosing a combination of commodity options, classified in class 705, subclass 36.
 - II. Claims 46-53, drawn to a method of combining commodity options into a grouping optimizing customer selections of combinations, classified in class 705, subclass 36.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and II are related as sub combinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The sub combinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has a separate process for choosing commodity options in that that it does not require grouping steps described in the invention II claims. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Martha J. Hopkins on December 30, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/580,448

Art Unit: 3624

5. Applicant is advised that reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dr. Narayanswamy Subramanian whose telephone number is

(703) 305-4878. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to

7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Formal or

Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703)

305-7687. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

N. Subramanian January 3, 2003

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 3